arXiv:hep-ph/0204124v1 11 Apr 2002

Superfluid phases of quark matter. III. Supercurrents and vortices

Kei Iida[1,2,3] and Gordon Baym[1,2]
[1]*Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1110 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801-3080*
[2]*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*
[3]*The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*
(February 1, 2008)

We study, within Ginzburg-Landau theory, the responses of three-flavor superfluid quark-gluon plasmas to external magnetic fields and rotation, in both the color-flavor locked and isoscalar color-antitriplet diquark phases near the critical temperature. Fields are incorporated in the gradient energy arising from long wavelength distortions of the condensate, via covariant derivatives to satisfy local gauge symmetries associated with color and electric charge. Magnetic vortex formation, in response to external magnetic fields, is possible only in the isoscalar phase; in the color-flavor locked phase, external magnetic fields are incompletely screened by the Meissner effect. On the other hand, rotation of the superfluid produces vortices in the color-flavor locked phase; in the isoscalar phase, it produces a London gluon-photon mixed field. We estimate the coherence and Meissner lengths and critical magnetic fields for the two phases.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Mh, 26.60.+c, 97.60.Jd

I. INTRODUCTION

Dense quark matter at low temperatures is expected to be in a BCS-paired superfluid state induced by attractive coupling in the color-$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ channel, with the pairs having zero total angular momentum, J [1–3]. Because of the rich internal degrees of freedom of quarks, two $J = 0$ pairing states with condensates antisymmetric in color and flavor have emerged as energetically favorable candidates, a two-flavor color-antitriplet "2SC" (or particularly for u and d quarks, isoscalar) state, and for massless u, d, and s quarks, a color-flavor locked state [4–7]. The latter is the most stable for three flavors of massless quarks in the weak coupling limit, both at zero temperature, T, and near the critical temperature T_c [I].

In this paper we study the response of BCS-paired states of quark matter to external magnetic fields and rotation, as would be experienced by superfluid quark matter if present in neutron stars. We find that the isoscalar paired state, if a Type II superconductor, behaves analogously to that of protons in a neutron star, forming vortices in response to the magnetic field, and forming a weak London magnetic field dominated by the gluonic component, in response to rotations. On the other hand, the color-flavor locked phase forms vortices in response to rotation, analogously to superfluid neutrons in a neutron star or to rotating superfluid ^{3}He, but simply tries to expel, via the Meissner effect, a fraction of the external magnetic field [8]; gradients of the order parameter do not induce supercurrents, and magnetized vortices are topologically unstable, in contrast to the situation in the isoscalar phase. Table I summarizes our main conclusions on the responses and corresponding energies. In neutron stars, the presence of the isoscalar phase would affect the magnetic fields of the star via the combined effects of Meissner screening, London magnetic fields, and possible magnetic vortices [10–12], while the color-flavor locked phase could play a role [13] in the pinning and depinning of rotational vortices that give rise to pulsar glitches [14].

To investigate the nature of vortices and supercurrents induced by external magnetic fields and rotation in pairing states near the critical temperature, we extend the general Ginzburg-Landau theory constructed in I by adding to the homogeneous free energy the energy arising from long wavelength gradients of the order parameters. The gradient energy, which is applicable over spatial scales larger than the zero-temperature coherence length $\sim T_c^{-1}$, is proportional to the superfluid baryon density n_s, which we obtain explicitly in weak coupling by calculating the normal baryon density n_n. We then incorporate external magnetic fields and rotation via covariant derivatives and transformations to the rotating frame, respectively. The Ginzburg-Landau free energy including color and electromagnetic gauge fields was analysed by Bailin and Love [2] and by Blaschke and Sedrakian [10] for the isoscalar channel in the weak coupling limit. The present study encompasses both color-flavor locked and the isoscalar condensation of arbitrarily coupled systems under external magnetic fields and rotation.

We derive the effects of uniform external magnetic fields on the condensates from the static Maxwell's equations in the medium, constructed by extremization of the Ginzburg-Landau free energy with respect to the gluonic and photonic gauge fields; the supercurrent sources include terms induced by the gradients of the order parameters, as in ordinary superconductors [15]. The response of the system to uniform magnetic fields is characterized by Meissner screening and free propagation of gluon-photon mixed fields, together with possible formation of magnetized vortices [10–12]. In clarifying the magnetic structures of the superconductor, we calculate the Ginzburg-Landau coherence length and the penetration depth, as well as the various critical fields. We treat all the gauge fields as averaged quantities and ignore fluctuations around their mean values, which cause the normal-superfluid transition to become first order, as clarified in Refs. [16,17,2].

Magnetic fields and rotation have negligible effect on the equilibrium phase diagram near T_c. Neither of these disturbances affects the degeneracy of the states belonging to a given phase because they do not couple with the orientations of the order parameters in color and flavor space. This is a contrast to the case of superfluid ^{3}He in which external magnetic fields and currents act to fix the orientations of the order parameters in spin and orbital space, respectively, and hence remove such degeneracy [18]. In addition, the color neutrality conditions, discussed in I, remain unchanged, since neither magnetic fields or rotation alter color charge densities via gluon self-couplings; we do not take these conditions into account in this paper. While both rotation and magnetic fields yield small positive energy corrections that are more favorable to the isoscalar phase than the color-flavor locked phase, such corrections are considerably smaller than the condensation energies except in a negligibly small temperature range near T_c.

In Sec. II, we construct the gradient energy and the supercurrents for color and flavor antisymmetric pairing with $J = 0$, and determine the superfluid baryon density and superfluid momentum density in terms of the order parameter. In Sec. III we examine the responses of a color-flavor locked condensate to external magnetic fields and rotation, and in Sec. IV, the corresponding responses of isoscalar condensates. Our conclusions are presented in Sec. V. The appendix briefly summarizes crucial results from I. We work in units $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$.

TABLE I. Responses of condensates to magnetic fields and rotation [corresponding energies]

	Magnetic fields	Rotation
Color-flavor locking	Partial screening, no vortices [Eq. (60)]	$U(1)$ vortices [Eq. (78)]
Isoscalar	Partial screening, possible vortices [Eq. (102)]	London magnetic field [Eq. (125)]

II. GRADIENT ENERGY

To describe the effects of external magnetic fields and rotation in a quark superfluid, we first study the gradient term in the Ginzburg-Landau free energy near T_c. We consider a plasma composed of quarks of three massless flavors (uds) and three colors (RGB) at temperature T and baryon chemical potential μ, as in I, and work in terms of the free energy density $\Omega = E - TS - \Sigma_{ia}\mu_{ia}n_{ia}$, where S is the entropy density, and the μ_{ia} and n_{ia} are the chemical potentials and densities of quarks of flavor i and color a. In the absence of real electromagnetic and gluonic fields the free density difference $\Delta\Omega^{(0)} \equiv \Omega_s^{(0)} - \Omega_n^{(0)}$ between the homogeneous superfluid and normal phases near T_c is, up to fourth order in the pairing gap (see appendix),

$$\Delta\Omega^{(0)} = \alpha^{+}\mathrm{Tr}(\phi_{+}^{\dagger}\phi_{+})_F + \beta_1^{+}[\mathrm{Tr}(\phi_{+}^{\dagger}\phi_{+})_F]^2 + \beta_2^{+}\mathrm{Tr}[(\phi_{+}^{\dagger}\phi_{+})^2]_F \ . \tag{1}$$

Here ϕ_+ is the gap matrix for even parity, same chirality, $J = 0$ quark-quark pairing (see Eq. (A2)). The subscript F denotes the on-shell pairing gap evaluated at $|\mathbf{k}| = k_F$, the Fermi momentum of the normal state; hereafter we do not write the F explicitly, but it should be understood. We ignore the terms Ω_{CN} associated with the constraint of overall color neutrality, discussed in I, since they play no role in the ensuing discussion.

In inhomogeneous states ϕ_+ depends not only on the relative pair momentum, $\mathbf{k}$, but also on the center-of-mass coordinate, $\mathbf{r}$, of the pair. For wavelengths large compared with the coherence length, $\sim T_c^{-1}$, the gradient energy assumes the general form to second order in spatial derivatives of the pairing gap,

$$\Omega_g = \frac{1}{2}K_T \mathrm{Tr}(\partial_l\phi_+\partial_l\phi_+^{\dagger}) \ , \tag{2}$$

where $\partial_l \equiv \partial/\partial r_l$ $(l = 1, 2, 3)$. This structure follows from the invariance of the grand-canonical Hamiltonian under special unitary color and flavor rotation and $U(1)$ gauge transformations of the field operators, $\psi \to e^{i\varphi}U_cU_f\psi$, and thus $(\phi_\pm)_{abij} \to e^{-2i\varphi}(\phi_\pm)_{cdlm}(U_c^{\dagger})_{ca}(U_c^{\dagger})_{db}(U_f^{\dagger})_{li}(U_f^{\dagger})_{mj}$.

The superfluid mass density is related to the stiffness parameter K_T by

$$\rho_s = \frac{4}{9}\mu^2 K_T \mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+\phi_+^{\dagger}) \ . \tag{3}$$

This relation is basically that obtained by Josephson [19], $\rho_s = A_\perp(m/\hbar)^2|\Psi|^2$, for superfluid He-II. To derive it we consider the situation, as in Ref. [20], in which the superfluid moves uniformly with small constant velocity $\mathbf{v}_s$, with the normal fluid remaining at rest. The momentum per baryon in the flow is $\mu\mathbf{v}_s$. In such a situation the phase factor of the gap in the lab frame transforms by $\phi_+ \to e^{-i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\phi_+$, where $\mathbf{P}$ is the total pair momentum relative to its value in the lab frame. Since each pair carries a baryon number 2/3,

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{2}{3}\mu\mathbf{v}_s. \tag{4}$$

Under the transformation $\phi_+ \to e^{-i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\phi_+$ the free energy density transforms by

$$\Omega \to \Omega - \frac{i}{2}K_T\mathbf{P}\cdot \mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+\nabla\phi_+^{\dagger} - \phi_+^{\dagger}\nabla\phi_+) \ + \frac{1}{2}K_T\mathbf{P}^2\mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+\phi_+^{\dagger}) \ . \tag{5}$$

Comparing this result with the expected transformation of the free energy density,

$$\Omega \to \Omega + \mathbf{g}_s\cdot\mathbf{v}_s + \frac{1}{2}\rho_s\mathbf{v}_s^2 \ , \tag{6}$$

and using Eq. (4), we derive Eq. (3), and in addition relate the momentum density of the condensate, $\mathbf{g}_s$, to the order parameter by

$$\mathbf{g}_s = -\frac{i}{3}K_T\mu\mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+\nabla\phi_+^{\dagger} - \phi_+^{\dagger}\nabla\phi_+) \ = \mu\mathbf{j}_s \ , \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{j}_s$ is the superfluid baryon current. [The minus sign originates from the conventional definition of the gap here in terms of the adjoint spinors rather than the spinors, as in condensed matter physics.]

In the limit of weak coupling near T_c, the stiffness parameter is given by

$$K_T = \frac{7\zeta(3)n_b}{16\pi^2T_c^2\mu} \ , \tag{8}$$

where n_b is the baryon density, and $\zeta(3) = 1.202\ldots$. This result has been derived by Giannakis and Ren [21] using finite temperature diagrammatic perturbation theory in the normal phase for single gluon exchange interaction, and by Bailin and Love [2] for a short-range pairing interaction. Here we present a simple physical derivation of K_T from Eq. (3), by evaluating the superfluid mass density ρ_s near T_c in weak coupling. We consider the system, as in Leggett's argument for superfluid ^{3}He [18], to be contained in an infinitely long tube which moves uniformly with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ ($|\mathbf{v}| \ll c$) along the symmetry axis. We assume that the normal component is in equilibrium with the moving wall and that the superfluid component stays at rest. Then the baryon current density $\mathbf{j}_n$ of the normal component is related to the normal baryon density, $n_n = n_b - n_s$, by

$$\mathbf{j}_n = n_n \mathbf{v} \ , \tag{9}$$

and is given in weak coupling in terms of the quasiparticle distribution function, $f_{\mathbf{k}}$, by

$$\mathbf{j}_n = 2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\mu} \mathrm{Tr} f_{\mathbf{k}} \ . \tag{10}$$

In the present situation, $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the equilibrium distribution evaluated in the frame of the moving walls:

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f\big(\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) - \mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}\big) = \frac{1}{e^{[\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}]/T} + 1} \ ; \tag{11}$$

here

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = [(|\mathbf{k}| - \mu/3)^2 + \phi_+^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\phi_+(\mathbf{k})]^{1/2} \ , \tag{12}$$

with $\phi_+(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \phi_+\big(\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{k}\big)$, is the energy matrix for quark quasiparticles [I]. Expanding to linear order in $\mathbf{v}$ we find

$$n_n = \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\mu T} \mathrm{Tr}[f\big(\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})\big)(1 - f\big(\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})\big))] \ . \tag{13}$$

The integration is dominated by momenta $\mathbf{k}$ close to the Fermi surface, where the gap can be taken to be constant. Expanding near T_c to second order in the gap we thus obtain

$$n_n = \left[1 - \frac{7\zeta(3)}{36\pi^2 T_c^2} \mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+^\dagger \phi_+)\right] n_b \ , \tag{14}$$

so that

$$n_s = \rho_s/\mu = \frac{7\zeta(3)}{36\pi^2 T_c^2} \mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+^\dagger \phi_+) n_b \ , \tag{15}$$

from which Eq. (8) follows.

Real color and electromagnetic gauge fields enter the gradient energy (2) via covariant derivatives. Let us first consider color $SU(3)$ local gauge transformations of the quark spinors via

$$U_c(\mathbf{r}) = \exp[i\lambda^\alpha \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})/2] \ , \tag{16}$$

where the φ_α are the local phase angles and the λ^α the Gell-Mann matrices. The gap matrix $\phi_+(k; \mathbf{r})$ transforms as $(\phi_+)_{abij} \to (U_c^\dagger)_{ca}(U_c^\dagger)_{db}(\phi_+)_{cdij}$, and the corresponding covariant derivative is

$$D_l \phi_+ \equiv \partial_l \phi_+ + \frac{1}{2} ig[(\lambda^\alpha)^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^\alpha] A_l^\alpha \ , \tag{17}$$

where g is the qcd coupling constant, and the $\mathbf{A}^\alpha$ are the color gauge fields, which transform as $A_l^\alpha \to A_l^\alpha + g^{-1}\partial_l \varphi_\alpha + f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_l^\beta \varphi_\gamma$. With extension of the covariant derivative (17) to include the usual $U(1)$ electromagnetic gauge transformations, which multiply the quark spinors ψ_{ai} by

$$U_i(\mathbf{r}) = \exp[iq_i \varphi_e(\mathbf{r})] \ , \tag{18}$$

with local phase angle φ_e, the full covariant derivative is then [22]

$$D_l \phi_+ \equiv \partial_l \phi_+ + \frac{1}{2} ig[(\lambda^\alpha)^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^\alpha] A_l^\alpha + ieQ\phi_+ A_l \ , \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the electromagnetic gauge field, e is unit of the electric charge, and $Q_{abij} = \delta_{ab}(q_i + q_j)$ is the electric charge matrix of the pair. The full gradient energy is then

$$\Omega_g = \frac{1}{2} K_T \mathrm{Tr}[D_l \phi_+ (D_l \phi_+)^\dagger] \ , \tag{20}$$

with D_l given by Eq. (19). This form is invariant under transformations $(\phi_+)_{abij} \to U_i^* U_j^* (U_c^\dagger)_{ca} (U_c^\dagger)_{db} (\phi_+)_{cdij}$.

We now write the free energy densities, Ω_n and Ω_s, of the normal and superfluid phases near T_c in the presence of an external magnetic field, $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ext}}$, neglecting the response of the normal component to $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ext}}$. First,

$$\Omega_n = F_n + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{H}_{\text{ext}}|^2 \ , \tag{21}$$

where F_n is the free energy of the normal state at $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ext}} = 0$. To construct the free energy density of the superfluid state, we add to the homogeneous free energy (1), the gradient energy density (20), and the energy densities of the color and electromagnetic fields induced by $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ext}}$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_s = {} & F_n + \alpha^+ \mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+^\dagger \phi_+) + \beta_1^+ [\mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+^\dagger \phi_+)]^2 + \beta_2^+ \mathrm{Tr}[(\phi_+^\dagger \phi_+)^2] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} K_T \mathrm{Tr}[D_l \phi_+ (D_l \phi_+)^\dagger] \ + \frac{1}{4} G_{lm}^\alpha G_{lm}^\alpha + \frac{1}{4} F_{lm} F_{lm} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$G_{lm}^\alpha = -\partial_l A_m^\alpha + \partial_m A_l^\alpha - g f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_l^\beta A_m^\gamma \tag{23}$$

and

$$F_{lm} = -\partial_l A_m + \partial_m A_l \tag{24}$$

are the field tensors.

Extremization of $\int d^3 r \Delta\Omega$, where $\Delta\Omega \equiv \Omega_s - \Omega_n$, with respect to $\phi_+^\dagger$ yields the gap equation

$$-\frac{1}{2} K_T D_l (D_l \phi_+) \alpha^+ \phi_+ + 2\beta_1^+ [\mathrm{Tr}(\phi_+^\dagger \phi_+)] \phi_+ + 2\beta_2^+ \phi_+ \phi_+^\dagger \phi_+ = 0 \ . \tag{25}$$

The field equations for the macroscopic fields $\mathbf{A}^\alpha$ and $\mathbf{A}$, found by extremizing $\int d^3 r \Delta\Omega$ with respect to the fields, are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_m G_{ml}^\alpha + g f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_m^\beta G_{ml}^\gamma \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} K_T g \mathrm{Im} \left\{ \mathrm{Tr} \left[((\lambda^\alpha)^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^\alpha)^\dagger \, \partial_l \phi_+ \right] \right\} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4} K_T g^2 A_l^\beta \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \mathrm{Tr} \left[((\lambda^\alpha)^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^\alpha) \left((\lambda^\beta)^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^\beta \right)^\dagger \right] \right\} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} K_T g e A_l \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \mathrm{Tr} \left[((\lambda^\alpha)^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^\alpha) \, Q \phi_+^\dagger \right] \right\} \equiv J_l^\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_m F_{ml} = {} & -K_T e \mathrm{Im}[\mathrm{Tr}(Q \phi_+^\dagger \partial_l \phi_+)] - K_T e^2 A_l \mathrm{Tr}(Q \phi_+ Q \phi_+^\dagger) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} K_T g e A_l^\alpha \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \mathrm{Tr} \left[Q \phi_+ \left((\lambda^\alpha)^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^\alpha \right)^\dagger \right] \right\} \equiv J_l \ , \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $\mathbf{J}^\alpha$ and $\mathbf{J}$ are the color and electric supercurrent densities, respectively. The Maxwell equations (26) and (27) with uniform external fields determine the structures of the supercurrents and vortices, as we discuss in Secs. III and IV.

For later analysis it is useful to write down the solution of these equations in the presence of an externally applied electromagnetic transverse field, $\mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{q})$, where $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{q}) = 0$. The supercurrents, $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}^\alpha$, induced by this potential in turn induce fields, $\mathbf{A}_{\text{ind}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\text{ind}}^\alpha$. We assume that the external potential $\mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}$ is sufficiently weak and nearly uniform ($\mathbf{q} \to 0$) that the order parameter remains essentially homogeneous and the self-couplings of the induced gluon fields are negligible. Up to linear order in $\mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}$, the induced fields may be calculated from Eqs. (26) and (27), with G_{lm}^α and F_{lm} including the induced fields. The supercurrents $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}^\alpha$ depend on the total fields,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{\rm ext} + \mathbf{A}_{\rm ind} \ , \quad \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} = \mathbf{A}^{\alpha}_{\rm ind} \ , \tag{28}$$

which are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}) = \Bigg\{ & 1 + \frac{K_T e^2}{q^2} {\rm Tr}(Q\phi_+ Q\phi_+^{\dagger}) - [(\varepsilon^T)^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{K_T g e}{q^2}\right)^2 \\ & \times \frac{1}{4} {\rm Re} \left[{\rm Tr} \left(Q\phi_+ \left((\lambda^{\alpha})^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^{\alpha}\right)^{\dagger} \right) \right] \\ & \times {\rm Re} \left[{\rm Tr} \left(\left((\lambda^{\beta})^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^{\beta}\right) Q\phi_+^{\dagger} \right) \right] \Bigg\}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{\rm ext}(\mathbf{q}) \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) = -\frac{1}{2} [(\varepsilon^T)^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta} \frac{K_T g e}{q^2} {\rm Re} \left[{\rm Tr} \left(\left((\lambda^{\beta})^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^{\beta}\right) Q\phi_+^{\dagger} \right) \right] \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}) \ , \tag{30}$$

where the transverse color dielectric function,

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^T_{\alpha\beta} = {} & \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\ & + \frac{K_T g^2}{4q^2} {\rm Re} \left\{ {\rm Tr} \left[\left((\lambda^{\alpha})^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^{\alpha}\right) \left((\lambda^{\beta})^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^{\beta}\right)^{\dagger} \right] \right\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

describes the response of the current of color α to the field of color β. In deriving this equation from Eq. (34) of II, we have written the long wavelength limit of the transverse screened correlation function $\tilde{\chi}_T^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}, 0)$ in terms of the gap as

$$\tilde{\chi}_T^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k} \to 0, 0) = \frac{1}{4} K_T g^2 {\rm Re} \left\{ {\rm Tr} \left[\left((\lambda^{\alpha})^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^{\alpha}\right) \left((\lambda^{\beta})^* \phi_+ + \phi_+ \lambda^{\beta}\right)^{\dagger} \right] \right\} \ . \tag{32}$$

In the succeeding sections we analyze the structures of inhomogeneous color-flavor locked and isoscalar condensates. These condensates are antisymmetric in color and flavor, with a gap

$$\phi_+ = \epsilon_{ijh} \epsilon_{abc} (\mathbf{d}_c)_h \ , \tag{33}$$

where $(\mathbf{d}_c)_h$ is a complex field representing the pairing gap between two quarks in state $[(|ab\rangle - |ba\rangle)/\sqrt{2}] \times [(|ij\rangle - |ji\rangle)/\sqrt{2}]$ with $a \neq b \neq c$ and $i \neq j \neq h$. With (33), Eqs. (22) and (21) for Ω_s and Ω_n lead to a thermodynamic potential density difference near T_c

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\Omega = {} & \bar{\alpha}\lambda + (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \Upsilon)\lambda^2 \\ & + K_T {\rm Tr}[D_l \Phi (D_l \Phi)^{\dagger}] + \frac{1}{4} G^{\alpha}_{lm} G^{\alpha}_{lm} + \frac{1}{4} F_{lm} F_{lm} - \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $\Phi_{abi} \equiv \epsilon_{abc} (\mathbf{d}_c)_i$. The homogeneous part is that in Eq. (A8).

We turn now to the properties of the color-flavor locked and isoscalar condensates, with respective gap matrices (A14) and (A12), in the presence of external magnetic fields and rotation.

III. COLOR-FLAVOR LOCKING

In the color-flavor locked phase, vortices associated with the response of the system to magnetic fields are topologically unstable. Rather, the system acts as an imperfect diamagnet. On the other hand, rotation of the color-flavor locked phase produces vortices associated with the baryon $U(1)$ symmetry breaking, analogous to the vortices in rotating chargeless superfluids such as liquid He II [23], superfluid ^{3}He [24], Bose-Einstein condensates of alkali atoms [25], and neutron superfluids [9,26].

We consider the color-flavor locked phase described by the gap (A14)

$$(\phi_+)_{abij} = \kappa_A (\delta_{ai}\delta_{bj} - \delta_{aj}\delta_{bi}) \ . \tag{35}$$

In the absence of magnetic and color magnetic fields the gap equation becomes

$$\bar{\alpha}\kappa_A + 6\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL}|\kappa_A|^2\kappa_A - 2K_T\nabla^2\kappa_A = 0 \ , \tag{36}$$

where $\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL} = \beta_1 + \beta_2/3$. In the London limit, in which the scale L of the spatial variation is much larger than the Ginzburg-Landau coherence length,

$$\xi_{\rm CFL} = (2K_T/|\bar{\alpha}|)^{1/2} \ , \tag{37}$$

the relative variation of the magnitude of κ_A is of order $\xi^2_{\rm CFL}/L^2$ smaller than the relative variation of the phase of κ_A and can be neglected. To see this we write $\kappa_A = e^{i\varphi_A}|\kappa_A|$. In the homogeneous limit, φ_A can be taken to be zero, and the magnitude of the gap is given by

$$\bar{\alpha} + 6\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL}|\kappa_A|^2 = 0 \ . \tag{38}$$

Thus in an inhomogeneous situation the variation of the magnitude of the gap is related to the variation of its phase by

$$\frac{\delta|\kappa_A|}{|\kappa_A|} = \frac{1}{2}\xi^2_{\rm CFL}e^{-i\varphi_A}\nabla^2 e^{i\varphi_A} \ . \tag{39}$$

Equation (38) implies the homogeneous phase condensation energy

$$-\Delta\Omega = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{4\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL}} \ . \tag{40}$$

A. Response to magnetic fields

To determine the response of the condensate to an external electromagnetic vector potential we substitute the gap (35) into the field equations (26) and (27), and neglecting gradients of the magnitude of κ_A, we find the color and electromagnetic supercurrent densities:

$$\mathbf{J}^\alpha = -2K_T g^2|\kappa_A|^2\mathbf{A}^\alpha \ , \quad \alpha \neq 3, 8 \ , \tag{41}$$

$$\mathbf{J}^3 = -2K_T g|\kappa_A|^2(g\mathbf{A}^3 + e\mathbf{A}) \ , \tag{42}$$

$$\mathbf{J}^8 = -2K_T g|\kappa_A|^2\left(g\mathbf{A}^8 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{3}}\mathbf{A}\right) \ , \tag{43}$$

$$\mathbf{J} = -2K_T e|\kappa_A|^2\left[\frac{4}{3}e\mathbf{A} + g\left(\mathbf{A}^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\mathbf{A}^8\right)\right] \ . \tag{44}$$

Note that the current terms induced by the gradients of the phase of κ_A vanish due to the isotropy of the condensate in color and flavor space, a feature implicit in Refs. [11,27]. This absence of currents induced by the gradients of the phase of κ_A underlies the response of the color-flavor locked condensate to magnetic fields and rotation, as we consider in the present and following subsections. External disturbances such as magnetic fields and rotation do not change such isotropy and hence do not break the degeneracy in energy of the states with order parameters of the form (A13).

The currents associated with color charge $\alpha = 3, 8$ and electric charge respond to the electromagnetic gauge field; in turn the electromagnetic current responds to the gauge fields $\mathbf{A}^3$ and $\mathbf{A}^8$. As in Ref. [22], it is convenient to take linear combinations of the supercurrents and the gauge fields to diagonalize the response. The combinations

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}g\mathbf{J} - \sqrt{3}e\mathbf{J}^3 - e\mathbf{J}^8}{3\sqrt{2}g_3} \ , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}g\mathbf{A} - \sqrt{3}e\mathbf{A}^3 - e\mathbf{A}^8}{3\sqrt{2}g_3} \ , \tag{45}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^3 \equiv \frac{3g\mathbf{J}^3 + 4e\mathbf{J} + \sqrt{3}g\mathbf{J}^8}{6\sqrt{2}g_3} \ , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3 \equiv \frac{3g\mathbf{A}^3 + 4e\mathbf{A} + \sqrt{3}g\mathbf{A}^8}{6\sqrt{2}g_3} \ , \tag{46}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8 \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}\mathbf{J}^8 - \mathbf{J}^3}{2} \ , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}\mathbf{A}^8 - \mathbf{A}^3}{2} \tag{47}$$

satisfy the diagonalized equations

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} = 0 \ , \tag{48}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^3 = -12 K_T g_3^2 |\kappa_A|^2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3 \ , \tag{49}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8 = -2 K_T g^2 |\kappa_A|^2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 \ , \tag{50}$$

where

$$g_3 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{3g^2 + 4e^2} \tag{51}$$

is the coupling constant associated with the field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3$. Since Eqs. (41), (49), and (50) imply that the corresponding fields have a magnetic mass, we see the property originally shown by Alford, Rajagopal, and Wilczek [4], that eight of the nine gauge fields are Meissner screened, while the field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ remains free.

The response of a homogeneous color-flavor locked condensate near T_c to an electromagnetic field is twofold. Substituting the supercurrents (41)–(44) into the linear response (29) and (30) we obtain

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{q^2 + 2K_T g^2 |\kappa_A|^2}{q^2 + 12 K_T g_3^2 |\kappa_A|^2} \mathbf{A}_{\rm ext} \ , \quad \mathbf{A}^3 = \sqrt{3}\mathbf{A}^8 = -\frac{2K_T g e |\kappa_A|^2}{q^2 + 12 K_T g_3^2 |\kappa_A|^2} \mathbf{A}_{\rm ext} \ , \tag{52}$$

and thus

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{6} g_3} \mathbf{A}_{\rm ext} \ , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}e}{3g_3} \frac{q^2}{q^2 + 12 K_T g_3^2 |\kappa_A|^2} \mathbf{A}_{\rm ext} \ , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 = 0 \ . \tag{53}$$

The term $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3$, which is proportional to $\mathbf{A}_{\rm ext}$, is screened by the Meissner effect within a penetration depth

$$\lambda_{\rm CFL} \equiv \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3K_T} g_3 |\kappa_A|} \ . \tag{54}$$

[However, see note [8].] The mixed field, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$, defined by Eq. (45), also proportional to $\mathbf{A}_{\rm ext}$, propagates freely in the superconductor. The behavior of the field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3$ is comparable to that in a Type I superconductor, independent of the relative magnitudes of the coherence length and the penetration depth. Due to the absence of phase-gradient induced current terms, an external field does not lead to vortex lines; a vortex placed inside the condensate would be topologically unstable. We note that since the structure factor $f_{\alpha 38}$ vanishes, the gluon self-coupling term included in the field strength tensor (23) does not appear, allowing us to generalize expression (53) straightforwardly to the case of finite external fields.

Under the external field $\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_{\rm ext}$, the free energy difference near T_c, Eq. (34), reduces to

$$\Delta\Omega = 3\bar{\alpha}|\kappa_A|^2 + 9\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL}|\kappa_A|^4 + 6K_T(|\nabla\kappa_A|^2 + g_3^2|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3|^2|\kappa_A|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\left(|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^3|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}|^2 - |\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2\right) \ , \tag{55}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \ , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^3 \equiv \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3 \tag{56}$$

are the Abelian field strengths. The gap equation (cf. (36)) follows directly from Eq. (55).

The system remains a superfluid for magnetic fields $H_{\rm ext}$ below a critical field H_c. For $H_{\rm ext} < H_c$, the equilibrium system expels the part of the external field that leads to $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^3$. An external field greater than H_c entirely penetrates the system, and the system becomes normal. The favored phase is the one that minimizes the Gibbs free energy density, $G = \Omega - \mathbf{H}_{\rm ext} \cdot \mathbf{B}$, at constant external field, $\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}$. Since $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^3$ is screened out in the color-flavor locked phase in bulk,

$$G_s = F_n - \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{4\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL}} + \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}|^2 - \mathbf{H}_{\rm ext} \cdot \mathbf{B} = F_n - \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{4\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL}} - \frac{g^2}{12 g_3^2}|\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2 \ . \tag{57}$$

The normal phase Gibbs free energy density is

$$G_n = F_n - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2 \ , \tag{58}$$

so that the difference of Gibbs free energy densities of the superfluid and normal phases is

$$\Delta G = -\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{4\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL}} + \Delta G_{\rm mag} \tag{59}$$

with the magnetic part

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G_{\rm mag} &= \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}|^2 - \mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}\cdot\mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2 \\ &= \frac{e^2}{9g_3^2}|\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

This free energy difference vanishes at the critical field

$$H_{\rm c} = \frac{3g_3|\bar{\alpha}|}{2e\sqrt{\bar{\beta}_{\rm CFL}}} = \frac{3}{2e\xi_{\rm CFL}\lambda_{\rm CFL}} \ . \tag{61}$$

The coherence length (37), the penetration depth (54), and the critical field (61) can be estimated from Eqs. (A5)–(A6) and (8) in the limit of weak coupling as

$$\xi_{\rm CFL} \simeq 0.26\left(\frac{100 \text{ MeV}}{T_c}\right)\left(1-\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{-1/2} \text{ fm} \ , \tag{62}$$

$$\lambda_{\rm CFL} \simeq 2.1\left(\frac{1}{g_3}\right)\left(\frac{300 \text{ MeV}}{\mu/3}\right)\left(1-\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{-1/2} \text{ fm} \ , \tag{63}$$

and

$$H_c \simeq 1.8\times 10^{19} g_3\left(\frac{T_c}{100 \text{ MeV}}\right)\left(\frac{\mu/3}{300 \text{ MeV}}\right)\left(1-\frac{T}{T_c}\right) \text{ G} \ . \tag{64}$$

In these expressions, T_c and μ are normalized by their typical values at lower densities. Although strictly valid near T_c, these estimates of the characteristic lengths and critical field can be extrapolated to $T \ll T_c$ with the given temperature dependence in terms of $1 - T/T_c$ without modification, an approximation which should be accurate to within a factor of two, as in the case of ordinary superconductors [15]. The estimate (64) indicates that a color-flavor locked condensate, if present in a neutron star core, would not be destroyed by ambient neutron star magnetic fields.

B. Response to rotation

We turn to analyzing the response of a color-flavor locked homogeneous condensate near T_c to rotation. For simplicity, we consider the condensate to be in thermodynamic equilibrium in a cylindrical vessel rotating with constant angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. In this situation, the normal component corotates with the vessel as a rigid body. The superfluid component, however, behaves independently; to examine its properties, we transform to the rotating frame in which the container walls are at rest, assuming, as in a neutron star, that the velocities associated with rotation to be much smaller than the speed of light and describing the flow properties nonrelativistically.

The free energy density in the rotating frame is given by $\Omega - \boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\mathbf{L}$, where $\mathbf{L}$ is the angular momentum density, given in terms of the order parameter by

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{g}_s, \tag{65}$$

with $\mathbf{g}_s$ given by Eq. (7). We neglect here the normal component contribution to the angular momentum. Using Eq. (35), we find that the superfluid mass density, (3), is

$$\rho_s = \frac{16}{3} K_T \mu^2 |\kappa_A|^2, \tag{66}$$

and

$$\mathbf{g}_s = 4iK_T\mu(\kappa_A^* \nabla\kappa_A - \kappa_A \nabla\kappa_A^*) \ . \tag{67}$$

Thus in the rotating frame, the gradient energy included in Eq. (55) becomes

$$\Omega_g = 6K_T\{|\nabla\kappa_A|^2 - (2i\mu/3)\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot[\mathbf{r}\times(\kappa_A^*\nabla\kappa_A - \kappa_A\nabla\kappa_A^*)] + g_3^2|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3|^2|\kappa_A|^2\} \ , \tag{68}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^3$ given by Eq. (46). Note that the gradient term is independent of the vector potentials; thus rotation produces a lattice of vortex lines, with the coarse grained superflow pattern simulating corotation of the condensate. In contrast, in an isoscalar condensate, as we discuss in Sec. IV B, a rotating condensate produces a London magnetic field rather than rotational vortices. We assume in the following zero external magnetic field.

A singly quantized rotational vortex centered on the cylinder axis has the structure,

$$\kappa_A = e^{-i\phi}|\kappa_A| \ , \tag{69}$$

where ϕ is the azimuthal angle around the vortex line. The corresponding baryon current density, defined by Eq. (7), is

$$\mathbf{j}_s = 8K_T|\kappa_A|^2\nabla\phi = \frac{3}{2}\frac{n_s}{\mu}\nabla\phi = n_s\mathbf{v}_s \ , \tag{70}$$

where we relate κ_A to n_s via Eq. (66). Integrating $\mathbf{v}_s$ around a closed loop surrounding the vortex line, we have

$$\oint d\ell\cdot\mathbf{v}_s = 2\pi\frac{3}{2\mu} \ ; \tag{71}$$

thus an individual singly quantized vortex has circulation $3\pi/\mu$. Specializing to a circular contour of radius r centered on the line, we see that the vortex velocity, in the azimuthal direction, is given by

$$|\mathbf{v}_s| = \frac{3}{2\mu r} \ , \quad \text{for } r > \xi_{\rm CFL} \ . \tag{72}$$

In addition the energy per unit length of an isolated vortex is

$$T_L = \frac{9\pi n_s}{4\mu}\ln\left(\frac{R}{\xi_{\rm CFL}}\right) \ , \tag{73}$$

where R is the container radius. The onset of vorticity occurs at the critical rotation rate ω_{c1}, where the energy in the rotating frame $T_L/\pi R^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\mathbf{L}$ first vanishes; thus

$$\omega_{c1} = \frac{3}{2\mu R^2}\ln\left(\frac{R}{\xi_{\rm CFL}}\right) \ . \tag{74}$$

At finite rotation speeds $\gg \omega_{c1}$ the system forms a triangular lattice of singly quantized vortices. The overall flow of the condensate is essentially that of a solid body at angular velocity ω, with velocity, Eq. (72), close to the vortex cores. This situation is similar to the case of superfluid neutron vortices in a rotating neutron star where the quantization of circulation is π/μ [9]. The net circulation around the cylinder is $3\pi N_v/\mu$, where N_v is the total number of the vortices. Since at the boundary, $|\mathbf{v}_s| \simeq \omega R$, the circulation is $2\pi R^2\omega$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N_v &= \frac{2}{3}\mu R^2\omega \\ &\simeq 6.4\times10^{18}\left(\frac{1\ \text{ms}}{P_{\rm rot}}\right)\left(\frac{\mu/3}{300\ \text{MeV}}\right)\left(\frac{R}{10\ \text{km}}\right)^2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

where in the latter equation the quantities $P_{\rm rot}(\equiv 2\pi/\omega)$, $\mu/3$, and R are normalized to characteristic neutron star scales. For these values, the intervortex spacing, $\sim (\pi R^2/N_v)^{1/2}$, is much larger than the Ginzburg-Landau coherence length $\xi_{\rm CFL}$, except immediately near T_c.

The total angular momentum, $\mathbf{L}_{\rm tot}$, of a vortex line, the integral of $\mu\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{j}_s$, is

$$\mathbf{L}_{\rm tot} = \frac{3}{2} N_s \hat{z} \ , \tag{76}$$

where $\hat{z}$ is the direction of the vortex axis, and N_s is the total superfluid baryon number. As in a neutron superfluid in a neutron star, a lattice of vortices in a color-flavor locked superfluid is an effective store of angular momentum.

To calculate the superflow energy density, $\Omega_{\rm rot}$, of a vortex lattice in the rotating frame we note that in the logarithmic integral for the kinetic energy per line, the radial integration is cutoff at the vortex lattice constant a, so that the line energy is given by Eq. (73) only with R in the logarithm replaced by $a = (2\sqrt{3}\pi/\mu\omega)^{1/2}$; thus in the rotating frame,

$$\Omega_{\rm rot} = \Delta\Omega_{\rm rot} - \frac{1}{2}\rho_s (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})^2 \ , \tag{77}$$

where the latter term is the free energy in the rotating frame of the (coarse grained) corotation of the fluid, and

$$\Delta\Omega_{\rm rot} \simeq \frac{3 n_s}{2} \omega \ln\left(\frac{a}{\xi_{\rm CFL}}\right) \tag{78}$$

is the local vortex contribution. In weak coupling, $\Delta\Omega_{\rm rot}$ can be estimated from Eqs. (A5)–(A6) and (8) as

$$\Delta\Omega_{\rm rot} \sim 3 \times 10^{-18} \left(\frac{\mu/3}{300 \ {\rm MeV}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1 \ {\rm ms}}{P_{\rm rot}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right) \ln\left(\frac{a}{\xi_{\rm CFL}}\right) \ {\rm MeV \ fm^{-3}} \ . \tag{79}$$

The upper critical velocity, ω_{c2}, at which rotation destroys superfluidity, occurs where the intervortex spacing approaches the coherence length, an impossibly high velocity to be relevant for neutron stars.

IV. ISOSCALAR, COLOR-ANTITRIPLET CHANNEL

The response of the isoscalar, color-antitriplet phase to magnetic fields and rotation is quite different from that of the color-flavor locked phase. Magnetic fields lead to topologically stable vortices, as in laboratory Type II superconductors, while rotation of the condensate induces a London magnetic field [28,29], rather than rotational vortices. Since these external disturbances do not distinguish any direction in color space, the color structure of the order parameters is unaffected. We specialize, without loss of generality, to a gap matrix having the specific color orientation:

$$(\mathbf{d}_a)_i \equiv e^{i\varphi_0} |\mathbf{d}| \delta_{aB} \delta_{is} \ , \tag{80}$$

where B denotes the blue and s the strange directions; then

$$(\phi_+)_{abij} = \epsilon_{ijs} \epsilon_{abB} e^{i\varphi_0} |\mathbf{d}|. \tag{81}$$

We concentrate on the London limit in which the spatial gradient of the magnitude of the gap $|\mathbf{d}|$ can be neglected (see Eq. (39)) and that of the phase φ_0 varies very slowly. This approximation is sufficient to describe the supercurrent structure outside the vortex cores.

A. Response to magnetic fields

Substitution of the gap (81) into Eqs. (26) and (27) leads to the relations between the supercurrent densities and the vector potentials,

$$\mathbf{J}^\alpha = 0 \ , \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \ , \tag{82}$$

$$\mathbf{J}^4 = -K_T g |\mathbf{d}|^2 (g\mathbf{A}^4 - 2{\rm Im}\nabla \hat{d}_R) \ , \tag{83}$$

$$\mathbf{J}^\alpha = -K_T g^2 |\mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{A}^\alpha \ , \quad \alpha = 5, 7 \ , \tag{84}$$

$$\mathbf{J}^6 = -K_T g |\mathbf{d}|^2 (g\mathbf{A}^6 - 2{\rm Im}\nabla \hat{d}_G) \ , \tag{85}$$

$$\mathbf{J}^8 = -\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}K_T g|\mathbf{d}|^2\left(\nabla\varphi_0 + \frac{g}{\sqrt{3}}\mathbf{A}^8 + \frac{e}{3}\mathbf{A}\right) , \tag{86}$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{e}{\sqrt{3}g}\mathbf{J}^8 , \tag{87}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{d}} \equiv \mathbf{d}/|\mathbf{d}|$.

As in the color-flavor locked case, it is useful to diagonalize these relations via the linear combinations [22]

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}g\mathbf{J} - e\mathbf{J}^8}{3g_8} , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}g\mathbf{A} - e\mathbf{A}^8}{3g_8} , \tag{88}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8 \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}g\mathbf{J}^8 + e\mathbf{J}}{3g_8} , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}g\mathbf{A}^8 + e\mathbf{A}}{3g_8} , \tag{89}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} \equiv 0 \tag{90}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8 = -4K_T g_8|\mathbf{d}|^2\left(\nabla\varphi_0 + g_8\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8\right) , \tag{91}$$

with

$$g_8 = \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3g^2 + e^2} \tag{92}$$

the coupling constant associated with the field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$. Thus five of the nine gauge fields are Meissner screened, as expected from the results for color Meissner effects on virtual gluons mediating quark-quark interactions (see, e.g., Ref. [30]). Expressions (90) and (91) indicate that the photonic gauge field, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$, modified by a gluonic component of charge $\alpha = 8$, is a free field, whereas the field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$ couples to the gradient of the phase φ_0. This latter coupling underlies the response of the isoscalar homogeneous condensate to external magnetic fields and rotation.

Equations (29) and (30) determine the linear response of the system to weak external magnetic fields. For the order parameter (81), an external electromagnetic vector potential leads to terms in these equations proportional to $\lambda^\alpha_{BB} = \lambda^\alpha_{33}$, which in the standard representation is non-zero only for $\alpha = 8$. Thus only a field $\mathbf{A}^8$ is produced; the fields $\mathbf{A}^\alpha$ remain zero for $\alpha = 1$–7. The absence of the $\alpha = 4$ and 6 gauge fields implies via Eqs. (83) and (85) that in equilibrium external magnetic fields do not lead to non-zero gradients $\mathrm{Im}\nabla\hat{d}_R$ and $\mathrm{Im}\nabla\hat{d}_G$. For the electromagnetic and $\alpha = 8$ gauge fields we obtain

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{q^2 + (4/3)K_T g^2|\mathbf{d}|^2}{q^2 + 4K_T g_8^2|\mathbf{d}|^2}\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{ext}} , \quad \mathbf{A}^8 = -\frac{(4/3\sqrt{3})K_T g e|\mathbf{d}|^2}{q^2 + 4K_T g_8^2|\mathbf{d}|^2}\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{ext}} , \tag{93}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{3}g_8}\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{ext}} , \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 = \frac{e}{3g_8}\frac{q^2}{q^2 + 4K_T g_8^2|\mathbf{d}|^2}\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{ext}} . \tag{94}$$

We note two features similar to the case of the color-flavor locked condensate. First, the modified field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$ is subject to the Meissner effect with a penetration depth [10]

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{IS}} = \frac{1}{2g_8\sqrt{K_T}|\mathbf{d}|} ; \tag{95}$$

the modified field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ is free. Second, the gluon self-coupling term in the field strength tensor (23) is absent. This fact allows the extension of Eq. (94) to finite external fields.

The free energy difference near T_c, Eq. (34), may now be written in terms of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$ as

$$\Delta\Omega = \bar{\alpha}|\mathbf{d}|^2 + \bar{\beta}_{\mathrm{IS}}|\mathbf{d}|^4 + 2K_T|(\partial_l + ig_8\mathcal{A}^8_l)\mathbf{d}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{ext}}|^2 , \tag{96}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \,, \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8 \equiv \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 \tag{97}$$

are the Abelian field strengths, and $\bar{\beta}_{\rm IS} = \beta_1 + \beta_2$. As in ordinary superconductors [15], we identify the Ginzburg-Landau coherence length, $\xi_{\rm IS}$, from expression (96) as

$$\xi_{\rm IS} = (2K_T/|\bar{\alpha}|)^{1/2} \ , \tag{98}$$

a result identical with the color-flavor locked expression (37).

The thermodynamic critical field, H_c, associated with $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$, is the field at which the Gibbs free energy of the normal state drops to that of the superconducting state. Since $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8$ is screened out in the isoscalar phase in bulk,

$$G_s = F_n - \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{4\bar{\beta}_{\rm IS}} + \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}|^2 - \mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}\cdot\mathbf{B} = F_n - \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{4\bar{\beta}_{\rm IS}} - \frac{g^2}{6g_8^2}|\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2 \ , \tag{99}$$

where we have used the identity

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{e}{3g_8}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8 + \frac{g^2}{3g_8^2}\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}, \tag{100}$$

derived from Eqs. (88), (89) and the first of (94). The difference in Gibbs free energy densities of the paired and normal phase is thus

$$\Delta G = -\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{4\bar{\beta}_{\rm IS}} + \Delta G_{\rm mag}, \tag{101}$$

with the magnetic part

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G_{\rm mag} &= \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}|^2 - \mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}\cdot\mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2 \\ &= \frac{e^2}{18g_8^2}|\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}|^2 \ .\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

Then,

$$H_{\rm c} = \frac{3g_8}{e}\frac{|\bar{\alpha}|}{\sqrt{2\bar{\beta}_{\rm IS}}} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}e\xi_{\rm IS}\lambda_{\rm IS}} \ . \tag{103}$$

The presence of the gauge field, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$, in the derivative term in the free energy indicates that the system can support magnetic vortices, as in Type II laboratory superconductors. Whether or not vortices appear depends, as we discuss below, on the relative size of the screening and coherence lengths. Let us first analyze the structure outside the core of a single vortex, whose core, of radius $\sim \xi_{\rm IS}$, we take to be aligned along the z-axis. Then the order parameter of the vortex is given by Eq. (80), with $\varphi_0 = -\phi$, where ϕ is, as before, the azimuthal angle around the line. The field equation for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8$, derived from extremization of the integral of Eq. (96) over the system with respect to $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$ at fixed $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$, is

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8 + \lambda_{\rm IS}^2 \nabla\times(\nabla\times\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8) = \frac{1}{g_8}\nabla\times\nabla\phi \ = \frac{2\pi}{g_8}\delta(x)\delta(y)\hat{z} \ , \tag{104}$$

in the London limit in which the spatial gradient of the magnitude of the gap $|\mathbf{d}|$ is negligible. The condition of quantization of magnetic flux, found from integrating Eq. (104) over the interior of a contour in the x-y plane surrounding the line, is

$$\oint d\ell\cdot(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 + \lambda_{\rm IS}^2\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8) = \frac{2\pi}{g_8} \ . \tag{105}$$

The flux quantum, ϕ_8, is thus $2\pi/g_8$; note that in the absence of color coupling ($g = 0$) the flux quantum reduces to $6\pi/e$, which is 2π divided by the net charge, $2/3 - 1/3$, of the pair.

As in ordinary Type II superconductors [15], it is straightforward to solve the London equation (104). The current density $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8$, which flows in the azimuthal direction around the line, has a magnitude

$$|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8| = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\phi_8}{2\pi\lambda_{\rm IS}^2 r} \ , & \text{for } \xi_{\rm IS} < r \ll \lambda_{\rm IS} \ , \\ \dfrac{\phi_8}{2\pi\lambda_{\rm IS}^2}\left(\dfrac{\pi\lambda_{\rm IS}}{2r}\right)^{1/2}\left(\dfrac{1}{\lambda_{\rm IS}}+\dfrac{1}{2r}\right)e^{-r/\lambda_{\rm IS}} \ , & \text{for } r \gg \lambda_{\rm IS} \ , \end{cases} \tag{106}$$

and the current $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8$ is screened by the Meissner effect far from the vortex core ($r \gg \lambda_{\rm IS}$); there the gauge field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$ alone fulfills the quantization condition (105). The vortex line energy per unit length, the sum of the magnetic and flow energies, is

$$T_L = \frac{\phi_8^2}{4\pi\lambda_{\rm IS}^2}\ln\left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm IS}}{\xi_{\rm IS}}\right) \ . \tag{107}$$

We proceed to classify the superconducting properties according to whether or not the isoscalar condensate allows magnetic vortices associated with the field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$ to form, i.e., whether it is Type II or Type I. Blaschke and Sedrakian [10] addressed this problem in the weak coupling Ginzburg-Landau formalism. We give the argument here for arbitrary coupling. The basic idea is to calculate the energy per unit area, σ_s, needed to form a planar surface separating the normal and superconducting material. At the thermodynamic critical field H_c, applied parallel to the surface, the surface is in mechanical equilibrium. For a surface perpendicular to the z-axis, the surface energy σ_s per unit area may be written as the integral over z of the difference of the total Gibbs free energy density, $\Delta\Omega(z)|_{H_{\rm ext}\to H_c} + F_n + \frac{1}{2}H_c^2 - H_c|\mathbf{B}(z)|$, and the value $F_n - \frac{1}{2}H_c^2$ for the limiting case in which the thickness of the interface vanishes ($\lambda_{\rm IS} \to 0$ and $\xi_{\rm IS} \to 0$); we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_s &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz\, [\Delta\Omega(z)|_{H_{\rm ext}\to H_c} - H_c(|\mathbf{B}(z)| - H_c)] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \Big\{ \bar{\alpha}|\mathbf{d}(z)|^2 + \bar{\beta}_{\rm IS}|\mathbf{d}(z)|^4 \\ &\quad + 2K_T|[\partial_l + ig_8\mathcal{A}_l^8(z)]\mathbf{d}(z)|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left[|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8(z)| - \frac{e}{3g_8}H_c\right]^2 \Big\} \\ &\sim \frac{\xi_{\rm IS}}{2}\left(\frac{e}{3g_8}H_c\right)^2 (1-\sqrt{2}\kappa_{\rm IS}) \ , \quad \text{for } \kappa_{\rm IS} \sim 1/\sqrt{2} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

where the Ginzburg-Landau parameter $\kappa_{\rm IS}$ is defined by

$$\kappa_{\rm IS} \equiv \lambda_{\rm IS}/\xi_{\rm IS} \ , \tag{109}$$

with $\lambda_{\rm IS}$ and $\xi_{\rm IS}$ given by Eqs. (95) and (98). The calculation of the prefactor of σ_s must be done numerically. The structure of Eq. (108) is identical to that of ordinary superconductors [15] with the surface energy changing sign from positive to negative as $\kappa_{\rm IS}$ goes through $1/\sqrt{2}$; thus we are led to the same criterion, namely that the system is Type I, with no vortex formation, for $\sigma_s > 0$, and Type II, with an Abrikosov-Schubnikov vortex phase, for $\sigma_s < 0$.

While in a Type I superconductor, superconductivity is destroyed by an external uniform magnetic field $H_{\rm ext}$ greater than H_c, in a Type II superconductor, vortices form at a lower critical field $H_{c1} < H_c$, and increase in density up to an upper critical field H_{c2} ($> H_c$), where the system turns normal. At the field H_{c2}, magnetic vortex cores essentially fuse. At the lower critical field H_{c1}, the energy gain from penetration of the magnetic field just compensates for the energy loss due to the line energy T_L, Eq. (107). The energy gain per unit volume from field penetration, given in terms of the magnetic induction $\mathcal{B}^8$ and the corresponding magnetic field $\mathcal{H}^8 = (e/3g_8)H_{\rm ext}$ (cf. the second part of Eq. (89)), is $E_{\rm mag} = -\mathcal{B}^8\mathcal{H}^8$. Integrating over the volume including the vortex, we find, using Eq. (105), a total energy gain from field penetration, $(e/3g_8)H_{\rm ext}\phi_8$. Equating this term to T_L we find that

$$H_{c1} = \frac{3}{2e\lambda_{\rm IS}^2}\ln\left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm IS}}{\xi_{\rm IS}}\right) \ . \tag{110}$$

Just below H_{c2} the pairing gap has a tiny magnitude but strong spatial variation, and is determined by a linearized and inhomogeneous version of the gap equation (25), as first discussed by Abrikosov [31]. From the condition for the existence of nontrivial solutions to this equation, we obtain the familiar form

$$H_{c2} = \frac{3}{e{\xi_{\rm IS}}^2} \ . \tag{111}$$

At high densities, where the system is weakly coupled, $\kappa_{\rm IS}$ reduces to

$$\kappa_{\rm IS} = 18\pi^2 \left(\frac{2}{7\zeta(3)}\right)^{1/2} \frac{T_c}{g_8 \mu} \ . \tag{112}$$

Since $T_c \sim \mu g^{-5} e^{-3\pi^2/\sqrt{2}g}$ [32], $\kappa_{\rm IS}$ is far smaller than unity, so that the system is Type I near T_c. It is not out of the question that at low densities where T_c can be $\sim 0.1\,\mu$ and $g_8 \sim 1$, that $\kappa_{\rm IS}$ can become larger than $1/\sqrt{2}$ and the system can become Type II [10], expelling the field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8$ completely for $H_{\rm ext} < H_{c1}$, while allowing the external magnetic field $H_{\rm ext}$ to penetrate freely in the form of the photon-gluon mixed field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}$ of strength $(g/\sqrt{3}g_8)H_{\rm ext}$ [Eq. (94)].

The magnitude of the coherence length $\xi_{\rm IS}$, (98), is the same as $\xi_{\rm CFL}$ in Eq. (62), while the penetration depth (95) can be estimated from

$$\lambda_{\rm IS} \simeq 1.5 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{g_8}\right) \left(\frac{300 \text{ MeV}}{\mu/3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{-1/2} \text{ fm} \ . \tag{113}$$

To estimate the magnitude of the critical fields (103), (110), and (111) near T_c we use (A5)–(A6) and (8) to find

$$H_c \simeq 3.6 \times 10^{19} \left(\frac{g_8}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \left(\frac{T_c}{100 \text{ MeV}}\right) \left(\frac{\mu/3}{300 \text{ MeV}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right) \text{ G} \ , \tag{114}$$

$$H_{c1} \simeq 7.9 \times 10^{18} \left(\frac{g_8^2}{3}\right) \left(\frac{\mu/3}{300 \text{ MeV}}\right)^2 \left[1 + \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{g_8} \frac{T_c}{100 \text{ MeV}} \frac{300 \text{ MeV}}{\mu/3}\right)\right] \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right) \text{ G} \ , \tag{115}$$

and

$$H_{c2} \simeq 2.9 \times 10^{20} \left(\frac{T_c}{100 \text{ MeV}}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right) \text{ G} \ . \tag{116}$$

Extrapolation of expressions (114)–(116) to low densities and temperatures indicates that the critical fields H_c, H_{c1}, and H_{c2} are several orders of magnitude larger than canonical neutron star surface fields $\sim 10^{12}$ G. However, to assess the actual situations possible in neutron stars, one must take into account the history of the expulsion of the magnetic field and the possibility of freezing in of the magnetic field; see note [8].

B. Response to rotation

To determine the equilibrium properties of a rotating isoscalar condensate, we assume, as in the color-flavor locked discussion, that it is in a cylinder rotating at angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. We first note that Eqs. (3) and (81) imply that the superfluid mass density of an isoscalar condensate is

$$\rho_s = \frac{16}{9} K_T \mu^2 |\mathbf{d}|^2; \tag{117}$$

in addition, the momentum carried by the condensate is

$$(g_s)_l = \frac{4}{3} K_T \mu \{\mathbf{d}^* \cdot (i\partial_l - g_8 \mathcal{A}_l^8)\mathbf{d} + [(-i\partial_l - g_8 \mathcal{A}_l^8)\mathbf{d}^*] \cdot \mathbf{d}\} \ . \tag{118}$$

Thus, in the rotating frame, the gradient energy in Eq. (96) is

$$\Omega_g = 2K_T |(\partial_l + i g_8 \mathcal{A}_l^8)\mathbf{d}|^2 - \frac{4}{3} K_T \mu (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})_l \{\mathbf{d}^* \cdot (i\partial_l - g_8 \mathcal{A}_l^8)\mathbf{d} + [(-i\partial_l - g_8 \mathcal{A}_l^8)\mathbf{d}^*] \cdot \mathbf{d}\} \ , \tag{119}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_l^8$ is given by Eq. (89).

From expression (119) we find that in equilibrium, rotation induces a London magnetic field associated with the gluon-photon mixed potential $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8$, rather than generating vortex lines as in a color-flavor locked condensate. This response is due to the presence of charge g_8, and is thus similar to the case of ordinary rotating superconductors [28,29] and proton superconductors in rotating neutron stars [26]. Rotational vortices are topologically unstable; a rotational vortex of the form (72) in the system can be unwound by bringing the velocity to zero simultaneously generating a gauge field $\mathcal{A}^8$ to preserving the quantization condition (105). To derive the London field we assume that the system has zero external magnetic field. In a rotating superconductor the local current $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8$ is given, deeper in than a penetration depth from the surface, by

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8 = \frac{3}{2} g_8 n_s \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r} \ , \tag{120}$$

since the pairs, of charge g_8 and baryon number 2/3, corotate with the vessel. In the absence of a vortex, the quantization condition on the vorticity becomes

$$\oint d\ell \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 + \lambda_{\rm IS}^2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}^8) = 0 \tag{121}$$

[cf. (105) for a contour surrounding a quantized vortex]. Taking a circular contour of fixed distance r from the rotation axis, we find

$$\oint d\ell \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 = \int d^2 r \mathcal{B}_z^8 = -\frac{4\pi\mu}{3g_8} \omega r^2 . \tag{122}$$

Thus $\mathcal{B}_z^8$ is constant and

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8 = -\frac{4\mu}{3g_8} \boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}^8 = -\frac{2\mu}{3g_8} \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r} \ . \tag{123}$$

The magnetic field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}$ remains zero.

Since $\mathbf{d}$ is a constant in space, the gradient energy (119) reduces to $-\frac{1}{2}\rho_s(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})^2$ so that the total free energy density associated with rotation, measured in the rotating frame, is

$$\Omega_{\rm rot} = \Delta\Omega_{\rm rot} - \frac{1}{2}\rho_s (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})^2 \ , \tag{124}$$

where

$$\Delta\Omega_{\rm rot} = \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8|^2 \tag{125}$$

is the energy density of the London field.

We make several observations about the London field (123). First, it reduces to the conventional London field of a nonrelativistic electron superconductor with the replacements $g_8/2 \to -e$ and $\mu/3 \to m_e$. Second, since $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} = 0$, we have $|\mathbf{B}^8| = (\sqrt{3}g/e)|\mathbf{B}|$, which implies that the London field is primarily composed of the color field of charge $\alpha = 8$. The order of magnitude of the London field is

$$|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8| \sim 0.15 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{g_8}\right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ ms}}{P_{\rm rot}}\right) \left(\frac{\mu/3}{300 \text{ MeV}}\right) \text{ G} \ , \tag{126}$$

so that at low densities relevant to neutron star interiors, the London field is thoroughly negligible compared with the typical magnitude ($\sim 10^{12}$ G) of the neutron star surface magnetic fields. Third, in the presence of a uniform external field $H_{\rm ext} < H_{c1}$, we have $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} = (g/\sqrt{3}g_8)\mathbf{H}_{\rm ext}$, while the London field (126) is unaffected. For $H_{\rm ext} > H_{c1}$, where vortices form, we find that the total field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}$ remains the same, while the total field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^8$ is the sum of that associated with the vortices, plus the London field, as long as the vortex separation is large compared with the penetration depth, $\lambda_{\rm IS}$. Fourth, the London field increases with increasing density, because of the power-law increase in $\mu/3$ and the logarithmic decrease in g_8, leading to an increasing supercurrent responsible for the London field. Finally, we remark that in the present case in which only $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^8$ are the nonzero gauge fields, no self-coupling of the London field occurs.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have investigated the response of homogeneous superfluid quark matter in thermodynamic equilibrium near T_c to magnetic fields and rotation. Rotational vortices are topologically stable in the color-flavor locked phase, as are magnetic vortices in the isoscalar phase. Table I summarizes the responses and the corresponding corrections to the free energy difference between the superconducting and normal phases. We have ignored several aspects, including the modification of the structure of the phases close to T_c due to the rotational and magnetic energies, effects of the finite strange quark mass m_s, and boundary effects.

The energy correction $\Delta G_{\rm mag}$ due to an external uniform magnetic field $H_{\rm ext}$ increases the energy of a color-flavor locked condensate more than that of an isoscalar condensate, as one can see by comparing the color-flavor locked result $(e^2/9g_3^2)H_{\rm ext}^2$ from Eq. (60) and the isoscalar result $(e^2/18g_8^2)H_{\rm ext}^2$ from Eq. (102), and noting that $g_3^2 < 2g_8^2$. In addition, the energy correction $\Delta\Omega_{\rm rot}$ due to rotation at constant angular velocity ω, as given by Eq. (78) in the color-flavor locked phase and Eq. (125) in the isoscalar phase, raises the energy of the color-flavor locked state more than that of the isoscalar state at temperatures $1 - T/T_c \gtrsim \omega/g_8^2\mu$, while being more favorable for the color-flavor locked state in a range $1 - T/T_c \lesssim \omega/g_8^2\mu$. Although interesting in principle, these terms, for expected magnetic fields and rotation rates of neutron stars, affect the phase diagram only in a negligible range around T_c and can be ignored.

The effects of a finite strange quark mass, m_s, are also insignificant for the response properties, because a non-zero m_s does not change the structure of the gradient energy, but merely modifies its magnitude in the color-flavor locked phase through the reduction in the Fermi momentum of the strange quarks, and modifies T_c.

Generally, the boundaries of the quark superfluid, e.g., an interface with hadronic matter, contain supercurrents induced by the Meissner effect and order-parameter gradients. The resultant energy corrections may possibly compete with the bulk corrections listed in Table I. Magnetic structures have been examined for boundaries of various shapes [11,12]. On the other hand, the influence of boundaries on rotational structures remains an interesting problem. If a rotating neutron star contains hadronic matter with a neutron superfluid and quark matter with a color-flavor locked condensate separated by a spherical interface, then the connection of the neutron vortices to the quark vortices is a complicated and interesting issue, since the two types of vortices carry a different baryon number and hence a different unit of circulation at the interface ($3\pi/\mu$ for quarks and π/μ for neutrons). For example, a singly quantized vortex continuing through the interface would produce a difference in the velocity field around the vortex core, which can lead to a Kelvin-Helmholtz instability of the interface [33]. A connection between three singly quantized neutron vortices, each of circulation π/μ, (or a triply quantized neutron vortex) and a singly quantized quark vortex would be free from such velocity differences, since the baryon chemical potential is continuous across the interface. Neutron vortices at an interface between superfluid neutron matter and an isoscalar condensate would terminate, while color currents responsible for the uniform London field would be arranged in the vicinity of the interface in such a way as to prevent the color fields from penetrating into the hadronic region.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Author KI would like to acknowledge the hospitality of the Department of Physics of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, where this work was initiated. Author GB is grateful to Professor T. Hatsuda of Tokyo University for hospitality during his stay in the Department of Physics of the University of Tokyo, where this work was completed, and to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan (Monkasho) for supporting this stay. This work was also supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research provided by Monbusho through Grant No. 10-03687, by National Science Foundation Grant Nos. PHY98-00978 and PHY00-98353, and by RIKEN Special Postdoctoral Researchers Grant No. 011-52040.

APPENDIX: HOMOGENEOUS SUPERFLUID

In this appendix, we summarize the general Ginzburg-Landau analysis for homogeneous superfluid quark matter of three massless flavors, temperature T, and baryon chemical potential μ, as developed in I, ignoring the small free energy corrections arising from the constraint of overall color neutrality. BCS pairing between two quarks of colors a and b, flavors i and j, spinor indices μ and ν, and space-time locations x and y, respectively, is generally characterized by a gap matrix, $\Delta_{abij}^{\mu\nu}(x-y)$, which is coupled in the action with the quark spinor $[\psi_{bj}(y)]_\nu$ and its charge-conjugate spinor $[\bar{\psi}_{ai}^C(x)]_\mu$, defined by $\psi_{ai}^C \equiv C\bar{\psi}_{ai}^T$ with $C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$ (in the Pauli-Dirac representation). The gap $\Delta(x-y)$ is related to the order parameter $\langle\psi^C(x)\psi(y)\rangle$ via the gap equation [Eq. (9) in I]:

$$\Delta(k) = ig^2 T \sum_{n \ \mathrm{odd}} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^4(x-y) e^{iq(x-y)} \gamma^\mu \frac{(\lambda^\alpha)^T}{2}$$
$$\times \left\{ \langle T[\psi^C(x)\bar{\psi}(y)]\rangle \Gamma^{(11)}_{\nu\beta}(q,k) + \langle T[\psi^C(x)\bar{\psi}^C(y)]\rangle \Gamma^{(21)}_{\nu\beta}(q,k) \right\} D^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu}(k-q) \ , \tag{A1}$$

where $\Gamma^{(11)}$ is the full quark-quark-gluon vertex, $\Gamma^{(21)}$ is the full antiquark-quark-gluon vertex, D is the full gluon propagator, and the Matsubara frequencies are given by $q_0 = in\pi T$. (Note that the convention for this order parameter is the complex conjugate of the usual condensed matter convention, $\Delta \sim \langle \psi\psi \rangle$.)

The gap matrix for pairing between quarks with zero total angular momentum, even parity, and aligned chirality has the structure [I]

$$\Delta(k) \equiv \int d(x-y) \Delta(x-y) e^{ik(x-y)}$$
$$= \gamma^5 [\phi_+(k_0, |\mathbf{k}|)\Lambda^+(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) + \phi_-(k_0, |\mathbf{k}|)\Lambda^-(\hat{\mathbf{k}})] \ , \tag{A2}$$

where k is the relative four-momentum of the paired quarks, $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|$, the

$$\Lambda^{\pm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{1 \pm \gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}}{2} \tag{A3}$$

are energy projection operators for noninteracting massless quarks, and $\phi_\pm$ denotes the quark-quark or antiquark-antiquark pairing gap. The anti-commutation relations for the quark fields require

$$[\phi_\pm(k_0, |\mathbf{k}|)]_{abij} = [\phi_\pm(-k_0, |\mathbf{k}|)]_{baji} \ . \tag{A4}$$

In the weak coupling limit, the coefficients α^+, β_1^+, and β_2^+ in the Ginzburg-Landau free energy (1) are

$$\alpha^+ = N(\mu/3) \ln\left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right) \ , \tag{A5}$$

$$\beta_1^+ = 0 \ , \quad \beta_2^+ = \frac{1}{2}\frac{7\zeta(3)}{8(\pi T_c)^2} N(\mu/3) \ , \tag{A6}$$

with the ideal gas density of states at the Fermi surface,

$$N(\mu/3) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\left(\frac{\mu}{3}\right)^2 \ . \tag{A7}$$

Effects beyond weak coupling lead to a nonzero β_1^+ through the dependence of the pairing interactions on the gap, and as well modify all the coefficients (A5)–(A6) mainly through the normal medium corrections to T_c and $N(\mu/3)$; however, these coefficients remain to be determined in the strong coupling regime.

For condensates antisymmetric in color and flavor, characterized by Eq. (33), substitution of (33) into $\Delta\Omega^{(0)}$, Eq. (1), leads to

$$\Delta\Omega^{(0)} = \bar{\alpha}\lambda + (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \Upsilon)\lambda^2 \tag{A8}$$

with

$$\bar{\alpha} \equiv 4\alpha^+ \ , \quad \beta_1 \equiv 16\beta_1^+ + 2\beta_2^+ \ , \quad \beta_2 \equiv 2\beta_2^+ \ , \tag{A9}$$

$$\lambda \equiv \sum_a |\mathbf{d}_a|^2 \ , \quad \Upsilon \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\sum_{ab} |\mathbf{d}_a^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_b|^2 \ . \tag{A10}$$

In homogeneous systems, we obtain two types of optimal condensates, as long as the overall fourth-order term in $\Delta\Omega^{(0)}$ is positive. The first, the two-flavor color superconducting (2SC) phase, characterized by $\Upsilon = 1$, corresponds to an order parameter involving only one component of flavor-antitriplet states, i.e., satisfying

$$\mathbf{d}_R \parallel \mathbf{d}_G \parallel \mathbf{d}_B \ . \tag{A11}$$

For $\Upsilon = 1$ we focus on the isoscalar, color-antitriplet pairing state with

$$(\phi_+)_{abij} = \epsilon_{abc}\epsilon_{ijs}(\mathbf{d}_c)_s \ ; \tag{A12}$$

this state is degenerate in color space under rotation of the color axes.

The other homogeneous condensation is described by a set of order parameters satisfying $\Upsilon = 1/3$ or, equivalently,

$$\mathbf{d}_R^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_G = \mathbf{d}_G^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_B = \mathbf{d}_B^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_R = 0 \ , \quad |\mathbf{d}_R|^2 = |\mathbf{d}_G|^2 = |\mathbf{d}_B|^2 \ , \tag{A13}$$

corresponding to the color-flavor locked phase [4]; the condensate in this phase is characterized by its symmetry under simultaneous exchange of color and flavor. Color-flavor locked states transform into one another under global $U(1)$ and flavor (or color) rotation. The simplest among these states is described by $(\mathbf{d}_a)_i \propto \delta_{ai}$ and $(\mathbf{d}_R)_u = (\mathbf{d}_G)_d = (\mathbf{d}_B)_s \equiv \kappa_A$; the corresponding gap matrix is given by

$$(\phi_+)_{abij} = \kappa_A(\delta_{ai}\delta_{bj} - \delta_{aj}\delta_{bi}) \ . \tag{A14}$$

For a positive overall fourth order term in the free energy (A8), the transition from the normal to the superfluid state is second order. The resultant state is color-flavor locked for $\beta_2 > 0$, while isoscalar for $\beta_2 < 0$. We remark in passing that the critical temperature T_c is the same for these two pairing states, which are induced by the same instability of the normal phase. Should the coefficient of the fourth order term, $\beta_1 + \beta_2\Upsilon$, become negative the phase transition becomes first order, as discussed in I.

---

[1] B.C. Barrois, Nucl. Phys. **B129**, 390 (1977).
[2] D. Bailin and A. Love, Phys. Rep. **107**, 325 (1984).
[3] F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **A663**, 257c (2000); K. Rajagopal, Nucl. Phys. **A661**, 150c (1999); K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, in *At the Frontier of Particle Physics, Handbook of QCD, Boris Ioffe Festschrift, V. 3,* edited by M. Shifman (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001), p. 2061; D.H. Rischke and R.D. Pisarski, in Proc. Fifth Workshop on QCD, Villefranche-sur-Mer, France (2000), nucl-th/0004016.
[4] M. Alford, K. Rajagopal, and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B537**, 443 (1999).
[5] N. Evans, J. Hormuzdiar, S.D.H. Hsu, and M. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. **B581**, 391 (2000).
[6] T. Schäfer, Nucl. Phys. **B575**, 269 (2000).
[7] K. Iida and G. Baym, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 074018 (2001), hereafter referred to as I.
[8] In neutron stars, where the normal flux diffusion time is far greater than the time to cool below the superfluid transition temperature [9], the proton superfluid does not have time to expel an external magnetic field, and being a Type II superconductor, forms magnetic vortices, even though the magnetic fields are below the lower critical field, H_{c1}, for vortex penetration. The expected flux diffusion times here are similarly of order $R^2/c^2\tau$, where R is the neutron star core radius, and τ is the microscopic scattering time. Thus the flux diffusion times, of order millenia or longer, are again too long for magnetic flux expulsion, and one expects that the system must coexist with the fields metastably. In systems with color-flavor locked and Type I isoscalar condensates, magnetic fields would be frozen in an intermediate state composed of alternating regions of normal material with flux density H_c and superconducting material exhibiting incomplete Meissner screening, while in a Type II isoscalar condensed system, the fields are frozen in a lattice of vortices.
[9] G. Baym, C.J. Pethick, and D. Pines, Nature **224**, 673 (1969).
[10] D. Blaschke and D. Sedrakian, nucl-th/0006038.
[11] M. Alford, J. Berges, and K. Rajagopal, Nucl. Phys. **B571**, 269 (2000).
[12] D.M. Sedrakian, D. Blaschke, K.M. Shahabasyan, and D.N. Voskresensky, Astrofizika **44**, 443 (2001).
[13] M. Alford, J.A. Bowers, and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 074016 (2001).
[14] G. Baym and R.I. Epstein, Astrophys. J. **328**, 680 (1988), Astrophys. J. **387**, 276 (1992); G. Baym, R.I. Epstein, and B. Link, Physica B **178**, 1 (1992), Astrophys. J. **403**, 285 (1993).
[15] P.G. de Gennes, *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys,* (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1966).
[16] B.I. Halperin, T.C. Lubensky, and S.-k. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 292 (1974).
[17] G. Baym and G. Grinstein, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2897 (1977).
[18] See, e.g., A.J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47**, 331 (1975); P.W. Anderson and W.F. Brinkman, in *The Physics of Liquid and Solid Helium, Part II,* edited by K.H. Bennemann and J.B. Ketterson (Wiley, New York, 1978), p. 177.
[19] B.D. Josephson, Phys. Lett. **21**, 608 (1966).
[20] K. Iida and G. Baym, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 014022 (2002), hereafter referred to as II.
[21] I. Giannakis and H.-C. Ren, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 054017 (2002).

[22] E.V. Gorbar, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 014007 (2000).
[23] E.J. Yarmchuk, M.J.V. Gordon, and R.E. Packard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 214 (1979).
[24] R. Blaauwgeers, V.B. Eltsov, M. Krusius, J.J. Ruohio, R. Schanen, and G.E. Volovik, Nature **404**, 471 (2000).
[25] K.W. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 806 (2000); J.R. Abo-Shaeer, C. Raman, J.M. Vogel, and W. Ketterle, Science **292**, 476 (2001).
[26] J.A. Sauls, in *Timing Neutron Stars,* edited by H. Ögelman and E.P.J. van den Heuvel (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989), p. 457.
[27] M.M. Forbes and A.R. Zhitnitsky, hep-ph/0109173.
[28] F. London, *Superfluids, V. I,* (Wiley, New York, 1950).
[29] G. Baym, in *Frontiers and Borderlines in Many-Particle Physics,* edited by R. Broglia and J. R. Schrieffer (Soc. Italiana di Fisica, Bologna, 1988), p. 330.
[30] D.H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 034007 (2000).
[31] A.A. Abrikosov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **32**, 1442 (1957) [Sov. Phys. JETP **5**, 1174 (1957)].
[32] W.E. Brown, J.T. Liu, and H.-C. Ren, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 114012 (2000); **62**, 054016 (2000).
[33] Lord Kelvin, *Mathematical and Physical Papers, V. IV, Hydrodynamics and General Dynamics,* (Cambridge, England, 1910).